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ABSTRACT

Background The rapid spread of devices generating electromagnetic fields (EMF) has raised concerns as to the possible effects of this technology on humans. The auditory system is the neural organ most frequently and directly exposed to electromagnetic activity owing to the daily use of mobile phones. In recent publications, a possible correlation between mobile phone usage and central nervous system tumours has been detected. Very recently, a deterioration in otoacoustic emissions and in the auditory nervous system function and metabolic changes in brain tissues after exposure to low-intensity EMF have been found both in experimental animals and in humans. Furthermore, it has recently been demonstrated that mobile phone bioactivity is related to its intensity or distance from the antenna: high for intensities down to less than 10 mW/cm and still evident as far as 1 mW/cm exhibiting ‘window’ effects.

Discussion Changes in neuronal electrophysiology, evoked potentials and EEG traces have been reported. Varrò et al described a decrease in basic synaptic activity as a reduction in amplitude of evoked potentials in rat brain slice preparation when exposed to an electromagnetic field.

INTRODUCTION

Mobile phones are today an integral part of modern life and allow people to maintain continuous communication without restraining freedom of movement. The exponential diffusion of mobile phones bears witness to the enormous success of this technology and its widespread use, and to promote further research.

There are a number of experimental investigations showing that EMF exposure induces a series of alterations at the cellular level. Changes in blood–brain-barrier permeability, neurotransmitter function and metabolic changes in brain tissues after exposure to low-intensity EMF have been found both in experimental animals and in humans. Furthermore, it has recently been demonstrated that mobile phone bioactivity is related to its intensity or distance from the antenna: high for intensities down to less than 10 mW/cm and still evident as far as 1 mW/cm exhibiting ‘window’ effects.

Changes in neuronal electrophysiology, evoked potentials and EEG traces have been reported. Varrò et al described a decrease in basic synaptic activity as a reduction in amplitude of evoked potentials in rat brain slice preparation when exposed to an electromagnetic field.

From an epidemiological standpoint, Hardell et al found that exposure to mobile phone EMF correlated with an increased incidence of astrocytoma. Acoustic neuroma and glioma, and in a recent review it was concluded that the ‘Current standard for exposure to microwaves during mobile phone use is not safe for long-term exposure and needs to be revised.” Very recently, long-term and intensive mobile phones users demonstrated a significantly higher risk of having both cochlear (absent otoacoustic emission or higher speech frequency thresholds) and auditory cortex alterations (lower middle latency response waves amplitude) as compared with controls.

These conflicting data prompted us to investigate the effect of EMF exposure on cochlear nerve bioelectric activity using a near-field electrophysiological procedure.

It is known that the bioelectric activity of the cochlear nerve may be safely and reliably recorded during cerebellopontine angle surgery from the exposed human cochlear nerve.
The acoustically evoked cochlear compound nerve action potentials (CNAPs), directly recorded from the exposed nerve, are characterised by a stable morphology, amplitude and latency. The procedure is highly sensitive to damage to the cochlea and cochlear nerve, and even minor stimulations, for example changes in temperature owing to moderate cold or warm saline irrigation, cause significant transitory changes in latency of the potentials of the order of 0.2–0.5 ms that can be easily assessed.26 27

The possibility of obtaining real-time recordings directly from the auditory nerve, the neural structure which is most likely to be directly affected by EMF generated by mobile phones, prompted us to conduct the present study to investigate the effect of EMF owing to mobile phone exposure on bioelectric activity in response to acoustic stimulation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The cohort reported here is a patient-based clinical population seen from January 2009 to March 2010. The study was conducted at the Department of Otolaryngology (tertiary referral centre) of the University of Verona (Verona, Italy). All participants were affected by definite unilateral Ménière’s disease (MD), according to the criteria of the American Academy of Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery (1995); they had also received medical therapy (diuretics, betahistine, low-salt diet) for at least 6 months. All participants performed a complete audiological evaluation with pure tone audiometry, speech audiometry, impedance audiometry, ABR and electrocochleography, and underwent a complete neuro-otological evaluation including: eye-movement bedside examination, vestibular evoked myogenic potentials, and caloric test before the procedure and at follow-up examinations up to 6 months. A 1.5 T MRI evaluation of the brain was obtained before the procedure in all patients.

Twenty-one patients met the entry criteria. Six patients were not enrolled in the study owing to profound hearing loss in the ear to be operated on. Three patients refused surgery. Seven patients underwent retrosigmoid vestibular neurrectomy (VN) for disabling definite unilateral MD (classes A and B) while being monitored for mobile phone EMF effects, and five patients undergoing the same surgical procedure were enrolled in the study as a control group.

The seven patients enrolod for EMF monitoring were first exposed for 5 min to the magnetic field generated by a mobile phone during an active call placed over the craniotomy and then submitted to VN for MD. Hearing was monitored intraoperatively using direct recording of CNAPs. The control group underwent 5 minutes’ exposure in the same experimental conditions using the same mobile phone in stand-by mode.

All patients were duly informed regarding the aim and protocol of the experiment and gave their consent. The patients were operated on in the lateral position with the head rotated contralaterally to the operated ear. A retrosigmoid craniotomy with a diameter of 3–4 cm was carried out. The dura was opened in an ‘H’ fashion. The cerebellum was gently depressed using a self-restraining retractor secured to the operating table. The entire area from the brainstem to the posterior wall of the petrous bone and the tentorium was exposed. Sharp dissection of the arachnoid allowed access to the eighth nerve.

Recording from the cochlear nerve was performed using a Teflon insulated silver electrode wire (Type Ag 7/10; Medwire Corporation, Mount Vernon, New York) with a small cotton wick sutured on its tip, which was uninsulated over a distance of 2–3 mm. The electrode was located on the proximal portion of the eighth nerve (figure 1).

A pledget of fibrin sponge covered the electrode in the CPA to stabilise and segregate it from the surgical field. The monopolar electrode (inverting electrode) was referenced to a subdermal platinum electrode (Type E-2; Grass Instrument Company, Quincy, Massachusetts) placed in the ipsilateral tragus (non-inverting electrode). A subdermal ground electrode was positioned on the sternum.

To monitor auditory function (cochlea, cochlear nerve and cochlear nuclei) the ear undergoing the operation was stimulated by alternating click stimuli at 31 pulses/s using a Walkman-type earphone. Click intensity ranged from a sound pressure level of 100 to 120 dB, depending on the hearing level.

The recorded potentials were filtered through a 30 to 2500 Hz bandpass filter, amplified (10 000× or 20 000×) and averaged with a 10-channel evoked potential system (Medelec Synergy N-EP, CareFusion; Gort, Ireland). The acquired large-amplitude potentials were easily visualised after averaging a few responses (100 repetitions), providing information on the function of the cochlea and cochlear nerve with a delay of only 2–5 s.

CNAPs latency of the first negative peak (N1) and normalised absolute amplitude of N1 were evaluated in all subjects.

Prior to vestibular nerve section, a Nokia 6310i mobile phone was positioned over the craniotomy area. It was activated (ongoing call, but no sound was played from the speaker of the mobile phone) or kept in stand-by mode respectively for the subjects and controls for 5 min. The distance between the mobile phone antenna and the eighth nerve was measured in every trial.

The mobile phone used in the present study emits and receives radio signals in the region of 900 MHz, and the highest specific absorption rate (SAR) value for this model when tested for compliance against the standard was 0.82 W/kg.14

To ensure the stability of the recording procedure and assess baseline behaviour in all subjects, CNAPs were first recorded with the phone in stand-by mode over a 2 min interval (T0), CNAPs were then continuously monitored throughout the entire 5 min of cochlear nerve exposure to the EMF (T1).

After the exposure to the mobile phone, the potentials were recorded for 10 more minutes (T2) (time necessary to harvest an

![Figure 1](http://jnnp.bmj.com/) Monopolar cotton-wick (arrow) electrode placed at the root entry zone of the eighth cranial nerve (asterisk) in a patient undergoing vestibular neuroectomy via the retrosigmoid approach.
autologous abdominal fat graft), and surgery was then continued with the vestibular neurectomy.

ABR were also recorded in all subjects at the same time as the CNAPs recordings. ABR were obtained using differential recordings from the vertex (non-inverting electrode) and the ipsilateral earlobe (inverting electrode) referenced to the sternum (ground). Needle electrodes were used to this end, and the recorded activity was filtered (1000 to 2500 Hz), amplified (100 000×) and averaged (100 sweeps).

Three months after surgery, an audiometric evaluation with pure tone audiometry was performed to evaluate the extent of hearing preservation.

Recordings at time T0 and at the end of the 5 min exposure were compared with the CNAPs recordings obtained from the control group (sham exposure) at the same times.

Differences between the EMF and sham groups were tested using the Student t test and a non-parametric test (Wilcoxon rank-sum test), as appropriate, based on the results of the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test for normal distribution. A non-parametric repeated-measures ANOVA procedure (Friedman test) in combination with a post hoc test (Dunn multiple-comparison test) was used to assess the effects of 5 min EMF or sham exposure by comparing the data collected at each minute of the 15 min of CNAPs recording with that recorded at T0. The statistical significance threshold was set at p=0.05.

RESULTS

Demographic data of the population exposed to EMF and of the control group are reported in table 1. No statistically significant differences in the distance measured from the mobile phone antenna to the eighth nerve were found between the study group and the control group (table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>No of subjects</th>
<th>Mean age (years)</th>
<th>Sex (male/female)</th>
<th>Latency shift at the end of 5 min exposure (ms)</th>
<th>Normalised amplitude reduction at the end of 5 min exposure (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study group (electromagnetic field exposure)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>50.3±9.7</td>
<td>4/3</td>
<td>0.55±0.18</td>
<td>34±16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group (sham exposure)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>54.1±12.5</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>0.05±0.03</td>
<td>98±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test</td>
<td>p=0.5950</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No significant changes could be detected with V wave latency of the ABR recordings with EMF exposure or sham exposure (p>0.05; Wilcoxon test). Changes in wave I and III of the ABR could not be statistically analysed because of their inconsistency in the recordings.

All CNAPs measurements showed stability and reproducibility at T0. A typical three-phase response was obtained with a predominant negative peak (N1) generated by the depolarisation wave propagation (figures 2, 3). The basal CNAPs latency and amplitude measures for both the study and control groups showed no significant changes at T0 (p>0.05, Wilcoxon test).

During EMF exposure, a decrease in CNAPs amplitude (figures 3, 4) and an increase in latency (figure 3), which started in T1 and lasted, albeit to a minor extent, over the T2 period, were observed in all patients. Figure 2 shows representative series of CNAPs recorded in two subjects submitted to EMF exposure and sham exposure, respectively. The effect of the 5 th EMF exposure over the 15 min of recording was shown to be statistically significant on both CNAPs latency and amplitude (Friedman test, p<0.0001; figure 4) compared with the baseline values recorded at T0. A post hoc test (Dunn’s multiple-comparison test of the data recorded at each minute with that at T0) indicated that significant changes in both CNAPs latency and amplitude (figure 4) could be demonstrated from few minutes after the beginning EMF exposure to around the 10th minute of recording (5 min after EMF exposure ceased).

A substantial deterioration in amplitude and latency of N1 was observed after 50–60 s of EMF exposure in all subjects. Because of the progressive deterioration of amplitude and latency of the potentials during exposure, we decided to limit the exposure to 5 min. This decision was taken because it is known that a shift in latency above 0.5 ms may be critical for hearing preservation procedures during posterior fossa surgery.26,27 Latency shifts above these values increase the chance of postoperative hearing deterioration proportionally to the extent of the changes in latency.

In our experiment, the maximum latency shift was thus observed at 5 min of exposure with a mean increase in latency of 0.55±0.18 ms (table 1, figure 4).

The same trend was observed in terms of amplitude reduction, the maximal amplitude change being observed at 5 min of exposure with a mean decrease in amplitude of 34±16% (table 1, figure 4). When comparing CNAPs at the end of EMF or sham exposure (T1), results showed statistically significant differences in terms of latency (p<0.0001; Wilcoxon test) and amplitude (p<0.0273; Wilcoxon test) between the EMF-exposed subjects and the control group (table 1).

Sham exposure to mobile phones in stand-by mode on the five control subjects showed no significant differences in CNAPs latency and amplitude (p>0.05; Friedman test).

The 3-month audiological follow-up showed no changes (p>0.05, Wilcoxon test) in hearing threshold when comparing the mean PTA of the whole population before (65±12 dB HL)
and after surgery (42±9 dB HL). Furthermore, no statistically significant differences were found between the study group and the control group in terms of hearing changes (p>0.05, Wilcoxon test) at the 3-month examination.

DISCUSSION

The new finding of this study is that short-term exposure (5 min) to the EMF produced by active mobile phones causes significant CNAPs deterioration, occurring with a specific time course, both during and after exposure. Changes are observed in CNAPs latency and amplitude, which respectively increased and decreased substantially and continuously during the 5 min exposure. It was decided to suspend the exposure after 5 min to avoid possible permanent damage to the auditory structures. Altered latency and amplitude values persisted, albeit in milder forms, after removal of mobile phone exposure. The largest changes were observed after 5 min of exposure and consisted in a 0.55±0.18 ms latency increase and a 34±16% amplitude reduction. These changes were statistically significant (p<0.001). An ABR analysis performed on the V wave showed no statistically significant differences related to the EMF exposure. Changes in waves I and III of the ABR could not be statistically analysed owing to their inconsistency in the recordings, and this may be due to the experimental setting which required a limited number of stimuli (100) not to prolong the surgical time and to the amount of sensorineural hearing loss that affected all MD patients. Postoperative audiological follow-up revealed no significant hearing threshold deterioration in any of our patients.

The findings resulting from this novel approach to CNAPs seem in contrast with our ABR findings and with most of the literature results, showing no effect related to mobile phone exposure on pure tone audiometry, ABR, transient and distortion products otoacoustic emissions. The findings resulting from this novel approach to CNAPs seem in contrast with our ABR findings and with most of the literature results, showing no effect related to mobile phone exposure on pure tone audiometry, ABR, transient and distortion products otoacoustic emissions. However, it has to be considered that the ABR procedure of our study limited the number of stimuli to 100, to limit the surgical time. Nevertheless, recent studies highlight trends for permanent abnormalities in several parameters of hearing function within long-term mobile phone users and even a significantly higher risk for absent otoacoustic emission and higher speech frequency thresholds.

The discrepancy between ABR and CNAPs results may be explained by different recording methodology and neurophysiological events. The direct recordings from the exposed cochlear nerve of CNAPs provide a real-time measurement of extremely reliable, stable and relatively large amplitude signals. Therefore, CNAPs are more sensitive to changes in the cochlea and/or
cochlear nerve than ABR and offer functional information that heralds subclinical structural changes.\textsuperscript{26 27 31 32} Although ABR offers the opportunity to investigate peripheral and central auditory pathways, some features such as the average technique, the low number of trials, the possible synchronisation of volley in the central nuclei and the supramaximal stimulation performed in intraoperative monitoring may make the methodology less feasible and useful in testing the effect of EMF exposure on auditory pathways.\textsuperscript{33 34}

A series of additional factors may be responsible for the significant CNAPs deterioration with EMF exposure including anaesthesia, increased cerebrospinal fluid shunting, volume intensity of the mobile phone, heat, metabolic changes and neurotransmitter alterations. The use of common anaesthetics in surgery can produce a very low effect on the responses from auditory nerve fibres, while it may impact the responses of the ascending auditory pathways form the cochlear nuclei.\textsuperscript{35} CNAPs modifications may also have been caused by an increased shunting of cerebrospinal fluid which could have accumulated around the recording electrode. However, this possibility seems unlikely, since the amplitudes were normalised after the end of EMF exposure, and so increased shunting would not have affected the latency of the recorded CNAPs. Moreover, the volume intensity of the mobile phone could not have determined CNAPs changes, since no sounds were played through it, and the external auditory canal was closed by the earphone and further covered by three sterile surgical drapes. Heat, on the other hand, might have been a contributing factor, since the electrode placed on the auditory nerve could act as an antenna inducing local heating or local chemical reactions that could affect neural transmission. Yet, both these explanations appear unlikely, since the temperature of cotton wick electrode measured before, during and after 5\textdegree of EMF exposure showed no changes, and no Ag wires were in contact with the eighth nerve. Recent studies based on a model of the human head during exposure to mobile phone EMF\textsuperscript{35 36} have shown a very low increase in temperature in the ear and brain regions close to the phone. Furthermore, it has been shown that the presence of a cochlear implant array inside the cochlea produces negligible variations in the averaged SAR values and consequently in temperature, both in the head and in the cochlear tissues.\textsuperscript{57}

The possibility that changes observed during EMF electromagnetic radiation could be due to interference with the stimulating and/or recording system was also considered. However, we found that the amplitude and latency shifts increased as a function of the duration of the exposure and slowly decreased after turning off the mobile phone. Interference with the stimulating or recording system would have caused instantaneous and substantial modifications throughout the exposure period and should have ceased immediately after exposure. Similarly, possible acoustic interference, that is a masking effect, can also be ruled out.

Metabolic alteration in the cochlear nerve or at the synaptic level may be responsible for the CNAPs changes. Radio-frequency fields generated by mobile phones can affect membrane proteins and can change the movement of ions, especially the efflux of calcium ions from brain tissue across membranes under normal conditions.\textsuperscript{30} This might cause subtle changes in cell function, but the significance of such effects for human health is uncertain. Thus, changes in calcium ion concentration might lead to alterations in neural functions. Other effects of mobile phone EMF on the nervous system are changes in blood–brain-barrier permeability due to hyperthermia, neurotransmitter functions, cellular metabolism and related electrophysiological modifications.\textsuperscript{39}

The available data suggest a complex reaction of the nervous system to EMF exposure. Other parameters to be considered in EMF exposure are frequency, duration, waveform and amplitude modulation, which are important determinants of biological responses and affect the dose–response relationship.\textsuperscript{11}

Despite evidence that mobile phone use can trigger brain-evoked potentials\textsuperscript{30} and induce CNAPs modifications, the crucial scientific question as to the pathophysiology of mobile-phone EMF effects on brain activity remains unanswered.\textsuperscript{41}

Two main limitations of the present investigation need to be discussed in detail. Because of the intraoperative recording methodology, it is not, presently, possible to determine whether the EMF affect the cochlea, the cochlear nerve or both. CNAPs recordings (figures 2, 3) showed a decrease in amplitude and a latency shift of all components, which suggest a decreased excitation of the auditory nerve. This finding suggests an effect of our stimulation on the cochlea rather than on the auditory nerve. A conduction block of the VII nerve would have determined an increase in the positive peak that precedes the N1 and a decrease in N1 amplitude. An effect on hair cells would explain the observed changes in the CNAPs.\textsuperscript{33}

The presence of a mild transitory threshold shift resulting from temporary cochlear damage would have no significant and long-lasting effect on the latency and amplitude of the potentials recorded from the cochlear nerve.\textsuperscript{27} Severe cochlear damage could certainly cause such effects. In this event, however, the time course of the effects would have been longer, and a systematic postoperative hearing loss might have been observed. This did not occur in the studied population, and postoperative shifts in the hearing threshold were not statistically different between the EMF exposure group and patients who underwent sham exposure.

Another limitation is the surgical access performed to investigate the eighth nerve. The retrosigmoid craniotomy directly exposes the eighth nerve to mobile phone EMF without any biological structure in between. This condition is clearly far from reproducing the real-life EMF absorption of neural structures, because it offers a reliable measurement of the direct effect of a known EMF on a sensitive nerve without the interposition of other structures (skin, skull, fat, muscle, blood, grey and white matter) that have different SAR.\textsuperscript{17 42} All these structures play an important role in determining the SAR of inner-ear structures in responses to EMF exposure.\textsuperscript{39}

The long-term effects of EMF exposure of the cochlear nerve could not be assessed in the present study. Nervous-system conduction might adapt or severely deteriorate in response to the perturbation produced by repeated exposure to EMF.

Further investigations are needed to clarify these issues. Despite the fact that short-term exposure appears not to affect the human auditory system,\textsuperscript{43} audiological abnormality trends have recently been described.\textsuperscript{24 30}

To the best of our knowledge, this study, for the first time, adds important information on the transitory and short-term effects of EMF on the auditory nervous structures through intraoperative monitoring, and reveals relevant clinical and general health implications. Subjects undergoing VN for MD represent a valuable and unique model for investigating human auditory physiopathology.

Further studies on larger numbers of patients with different experimental conditions are necessary to validate the present findings and clarify the pathological and physiological substrate of the described effect.
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